

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/532,904		REDERT ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK		2628	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK.

(2) Gregory Thorne.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:
1, 11, 18, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:
Kim (US Pub 2002/0075214)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner proposed claim amendments to put the case in condition for allowance. The applicant and examiner came to an agreement on the changes.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:30AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)